Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/960,355	YAMASHITA, KYOJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Craig Miller	2857
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>abn</u>	
(1) <u>Craig Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert C. Mattson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 May 2005</u>	Time: <u>1:30p</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired as to whether any response to the rejection filed 30 September 2004 was or would be filed. Mr. Mattson indicated that no response would be filed. Examiner indicated that a NOA would be issued. Mr. Mattson aqknowledged this pending action..